

## Police Objection for 83/85 Bond Street, Blackpool.

I Caroline Hannon am currently subcontracted by Mark Marshall to carry out work for licensing matters.

I had 16 years of policing experience with Lancashire Constabulary taking voluntary exit in March 2016. My experience in the police force was majority front-line policing. I worked in the licensing department as a Sergeant and in Immediate Response as an Inspector which incorporated Blackpool Town Centre. I have been the Nighttime Operational Sergeant and Inspector running the nighttime public order operations. I was a trained Bronze Commander covering public order, royal visits and the protest movement within Lancashire. I was also the lead Inspector for Police liaison officers for Lancashire Constabulary.

I was subcontracted by Mark Marshall to review the 9 police incident logs referred to by Police Sergeant Cox in his objection.

From my experience in police licensing, objections would be made if there was evidence to support such representations. However, I believe this data has been gathered by a police analyst on the basis it occurred on Bond Street and it was alcohol-related, the logs do not show a direct link nor mention the premises.

I have attached a table which explains why eight logs are not linked to the premises and only one occurs within the shop, and the owner cannot be held accountable for any wrongdoing. The premises complies fully with the police requesting CCTV and the customer's details.

Log	Classification	Incident	Connection To Premises
LC20221020-1371	Domestic Incident	Harassment by partner at home address.	No link to premises.
LC20221106-0343	Assault	Holiday makers staying in apartments who struggle to give comms operate exact location for domestic incident.	No link to premises. Offence committed on Bagot St not Bond St.
LC20221214-1056	Sexual Assault	Female reports she was sexually assaulted at a party, address in South Shore.	No link to premises. Informant cannot confirm address and just states in South Shore.
LC20221218-0975	Assault	Informant films a male urinating in the street and is assaulted.	No link to premises.
LC20220126-0492	Assault	Informant reporting assault by ex partner after the incident occurred.	No link to premises. Incident is offenders address, not Bond Street.
LC20230131-0236	Sexual Offence	Informant reporting that her two daughters have been sexually assaulted at an address they stayed at.	No link to premises. Offence at offenders address and log does not confirm Bond St as place of offence.

LC20230415-0700	Public Order	During the afternoon a regular customer and a holidaymaker have a verbal only dispute over placing their shopping on the payment desk.	This incident takes place within the shop but the owner could not have prevented this from occurring. Full cooperation with the police producing CCTV and customer's details.
LC20230531-1472	Malicious Communication	Domestic incident between a couple and male arrested.	No link to premises.
LC20230703-0842	Assault	Log created by officer as assault disclosed whilst dealing with separate log.	No link to premises.

In summary, I believe that at least three of the above logs, as per the table above, did not occur on Bond St and it is possibly the location of the informant at the time of reporting the incident.

In my opinion, eight out of nine logs supporting the police objection have no relevance to the premises at all. One log has a causal link as a verbal argument occurs within the premises mid-afternoon. These logs do not apply to the applicant as the figures are mainly domestic violence-related offences, unconnected to the premises and as such no longer acceptable as evidence against the application.

On Tuesday 5th September 2023 I requested information regarding any licensing visits or warning letters for licenses operating on Bond Street for the last three years, PC Pritchard from the police licensing team confirmed that there was zero on the police system.

Caroline Hannon  
05/09/23